

ASSESSMENT GUIDE

BUSN603 Corporate Governance and Risk Management Semester 1, 2021

Assessment Number: 3

Assessment Artefact: Critical Report (Case Study)

Weighting: 40%

Why this assessment?

The Case Study Analysis provides assurance of assessment authenticity and that students have attained the knowledge, skills and dispositions required to achieve the Learning Outcomes. This assessment provides students with an opportunity to engage in a simulated professional activity and to apply learning and skills acquired in the first two assessments.

What are the types of employability skills that I will acquire upon completion of this assessment?

Skill Type	
Developed critical and analytical thinking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Developed ability to solve complex problems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Developed ability to work effectively with others	<input type="checkbox"/>
Developed confidence to learn independently	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Developed written communication skills	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Developed spoken communication skills	<input type="checkbox"/>
Developed knowledge in the field study	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Developed work related knowledge and skills	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Assessment Overview: Position Description

Purpose: To assess your understanding of the critical success factors in corporate governance and risk management.

Due date:	Wednesday 16 th June 4.00 pm
Weighting:	40%
Length and/or format:	1750 words
Learning outcomes assessed	LO2, LO4 & LO5
Graduate attributes assessed	GA3, GA5, GA6 & GA8
How to submit:	Via Turnitin on LEO
Return of assignment:	Via Turnitin on LEO, when results published
Assessment criteria:	Rubric: see end of document

Context

This task requires students to analyse, evaluate and apply a governance knowledge on a given case study. This is an individual case study designed to give students practical experience as a board member in planning, evaluation of risks and policy needed to ensure sustainability.

Instructions

Review the case study in detail and respond to the questions posed utilising the framework of the material raised and presented for discussion over the scheduled workshops.

Structure

You are required to write a report. (This will include a front cover, etc.)

You are required to review the case provided and respond to the questions posed in a written report

- A Front cover (include your name etc)
- B Table of Contents
- B Provide answers to the questions posed
- C Summary and conclusions
- D Provide references (Harvard Style) where appropriate to support your answers In undertaking the critical report use a minimum of five references post 2017.

Recommended Formatting

- Font - Times Roman 12 point
- Line spacing 1.15
- Word limit 1750 words (excluding appendices and references)
- Cover Sheet
- Page numbers
- Headers and Footers

How do I submit?

Submit your assessment under Assessment → Assessment 3 Submission Point on LEO

Include the checklist below in your assessment document and the feedforward template

Checklist for students to be included with your assessment.

The submitted assignment details your name and ID number	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submitted assignment is within the specified word limit	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submission following the detailed structure and formatting	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submission responded to all the specified questions	<input type="checkbox"/>
The report includes references using specified referencing style	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submission correctly cites all my sources and references	<input type="checkbox"/>
A draft report was check against Turnitin for originality before final submission	<input type="checkbox"/>

There is a Turnitin report to ensure the similarity report is acceptable and explainable	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submission has been proofread and checked for spelling and grammar	<input type="checkbox"/>
The submission has been made before the due date/time	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have submitted feed forward template along with my assignment submission	<input type="checkbox"/>

Feed Forward Template

Note

This is a task for any instance of follow-on assignment (assessment 2 and 3). This must be submitted as the first page of the follow-on assignment (assessment 2 and 3) to ensure you acted on the feedback provided to you in the previous assignment. (this is not counted as part of the assessment word count)

Feed Forward Template

Feedback is an important component of learning. Please consider the feedback you received in your last assignment and provide a response on how you acted on, or intend to act upon, that feedback, and how it has informed the current assignment task. Submit this sheet along with your assignment.

Example Questions	Action Plan and feedback recommendation (what I learnt from the feedback on feedback)
Based on previous assignment feedback, briefly described how you acted on the feedback to improve your work in this assignment?	
Briefly describe what is your expectation around the type of feedback that enhances your learning.	
Did you have any difficulty understanding or acting on previous feedback? Please be as specific as possible so that you can gain further feedback/clarify anything you do not understand in the feedback	

Some Helpful Websites and Resources

<https://www.asx.com.au/documents/asx-compliance/cgc-principles-and-recommendations-3rd-edn.pdf>

Who can help me?

Studiosity

Academic skills Unit (ASU)

Places

John Sturdy email: john.sturdy@acu.au

I'm having problems

SC: Application for Special Consideration Complete this form if you wish to be exempted from academic penalty because your study has been affected by unforeseen circumstances.

EX: Application for extension of time for submission of an Assessment Task Complete this form if you wish to apply for extension of time for submission of this Assessment Task.

Referencing

All referencing should be in [ACU Harvard style](#); however if you are coming from another faculty, you may choose to use your usual referencing style. If this is the case you must indicate at the top of your reference list what referencing style you are using (e.g. APA, MLA, Chicago, etc).

Please ensure your assignment makes use of in-text citations and a reference list. Missing citations or references is equivalent to plagiarism.

Criteria

The full criteria is compiled in a rubric, which can be found on the following page/s.

Rubric – Assessment 3: (Total marks = 40)

Learning Outcomes	Criteria	Does not meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations		
		NN (0-49)	PA (50-64)	CR (65-74)	DI (75-84)	HD (85-100)
<p>LO2 GA6 Weight 25% TL =4 Learning Stage D:</p>	<p>Analyse the organisation’s corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses (10marks)</p>	<p>Failed to analyse the organisation corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses. (0 – 4.9 Marks)</p>	<p>Adequately analysed the organisation corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses. (5.0 -6.4 Marks)</p>	<p>More than adequately analysed the organisation corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses. (6.5 – 7.4 Marks)</p>	<p>A comprehensive analysis of the organisation corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses (7.5 – 8.4 Marks)</p>	<p>A thoroughly comprehensive analysis of the organisation corporate governance and risk management behaviour and responses (8.5 – 10.0 Marks)</p>
<p>LO4 GA5 Weight 25% TL =5 Learning Stage D</p>	<p>Evaluate the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions to the issues raised. (10 marks))</p>	<p>Failed to evaluate the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions. (0 – 4.9 Marks)</p>	<p>Adequately evaluated of the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions. Minimal illustrations are included. (5.0 -6.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Credibly evaluated the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions Some general illustrations are included with reasonable clarity. (6.5 – 7.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Distinctively evaluated the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions. Clear and specific illustrations are included. (7.5 – 8.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Highly distinctively evaluated the appropriateness of the organisation’s actions. More than clear specific illustrations are included. (8.5 – 10.0 Marks)</p>
<p>LO5 GA8 Weight 25% TL =4 Learning Stage D</p>	<p>Analyse the key issues of corporate governance and risk management within the case study. (10marks))</p>	<p>Failed to analyse the issues of corporate governance and risk management within the case study. (0 – 4.9 Marks)</p>	<p>Analysed an acceptable level issues of corporate governance and risk management within the case study. (5.0 -6.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Analysed a credible level of corporate governance and risk management issues within the case study. (6.5 – 7.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Analysed a highly credible level of corporate governance and risk management issues within the case study. (7.5 – 8.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Analysed an exceptional level of corporate governance and risk management issues within the case study. (8.5 – 10.0 Marks)</p>
<p>LO4 GA3 Weight 25% TL = 5 Learning Stage D</p>	<p>Evaluate the risk mitigation strategies considering the ethical issues raised in the case study. (10 marks)</p>	<p>Failed to evaluate the risk mitigation strategies considering the ethical issues raised in the case study (0 – 4.9 Marks)</p>	<p>Evaluated the risk mitigation strategies in light of the ethical issues raised in the case study. (5.0 -6.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Credible evaluation of the risk mitigation strategies considering the ethical issues raised in the case study (6.5 – 7.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Very credible evaluation of the risk mitigation strategies considering the ethical issues raised in the case study (7.5 – 8.4 Marks)</p>	<p>Highly credible evaluation of the risk mitigation strategies considering the ethical issues raised in the case study. (8.5 – 10.0 Marks).</p>